

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

			•	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/493,423	01/29/2000	Charles Christopher Negus	LE-199J	2221
7	590 10/23/2002			
Kirk Teska		EXAMINER		
Iandiorio & Te 260 Bear Hill I		FARAH, AHMED M		
Waltham, MA	02451-1018		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3739	
			DATE MAILED: 10/23/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



On

Application No.

Office Action Summary

09/493,423

Applicant(s)

Negus et al.

Exa		

A. Farah

Art Unit 3739



The MAILING DATE of this communication a	ppears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
Period for Reply	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 mailing date of this communication. 	36 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl	
Status	
1) 💢 Responsive to communication(s) filed on Jun	15, 2002
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ 1	his action is non-final.
	vance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is r Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims	
4) 💢 Claim(s) <u>1-3</u>	is/are pending in the application.
4a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5)	is/are allowed.
6) 💢 Claim(s) <u>1-3</u>	is/are rejected.
7)	is/are objected to.
8) Claims	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.
Application Papers	
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.
10) The drawing(s) filed on	is/are a) \square accepted or b) \square objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection t	to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11) \square The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) \square approved b) \square disapproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in	reply to this Office action.
12) \square The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	
13) \square Acknowledgement is made of a claim for for	eign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) \square All b) \square Some* c) \square None of:	
1. \square Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been received.
2. \square Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been received in Application No
application from the Internation	
*See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	
14) 🗶 Acknowledgement is made of a claim for do	
a) L The translation of the foreign language pro	
	mestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.
Attachment(s) 1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) [] Interview Common (PTO 440) D
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	6) Other:

Application/Control Number: 09/493,423

Art Unit: 3739

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321© may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 1 and 3 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1 and 2 of U.S. Patent No. 6,030,377.

Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other

Application/Control Number: 09/493,423 Page 3

Art Unit: 3739

because they are directed to analogous apparatus and methods of use for marking and delivering ablative energy to percutaneous myocardial revascularization channels in the heart wall.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371© of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application as the application being examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29, 2000, or (2) voluntarily published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b). Therefore, this application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

4. Claims 1 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Linhares et al. U.S. Patent 6,030,377.

Linhares et al. disclose a percutaneous transmyocardial revascularizations catheter system

12 and method of use, the catheter system 12 comprising:

Art Unit: 3739

a treatment catheter 14 having a proximal end connected with a source of tissue ablative energy 24 and a distal tip for applying the ablative energy to the heart wall to create channels (see Fig. 1); and

a channel marking and drug delivery catheter [subsystem] 16 connected to a source of therapeutic or diagnostic agent (see Fig. 15), the catheter subsystem 16 having a distal end proximate the distal end of the treatment catheter 14 for applying an imaging and/or therapeutic agent in or proximate the channels.

5. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Swanson U.S. Patent 6,023,638.

Swanson discloses systems and methods for diagnosing and treating tissue, for example, percutaneous myocardial revascularization (Col. 42, lines 3-8), the systems comprising:

a treatment catheter 312 having a proximal end connected with a source of tissue ablative energy 378 and a distal tip for applying the ablative energy to the heart wall to create channels (see Figs. 38 and 39); and

channel marking and drug delivery catheter [subsystems] **314**, **316**, connected to an imaging medium source and a source of therapeutic or diagnostic agent for applying the imaging and/or therapeutic agent in or proximate the channel (Col. 13, lines 9-20).

As to claim 2, the marking and drug delivery catheter subsystems of Swanson include at least two separate catheters **314** and **316** for applying the imaging medium and therapeutic or diagnostic agent in or proximate the channel (Fig. 39 and Col. 13, lines 11-12).

Application/Control Number: 09/493,423 Page 5

Art Unit: 3739

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. U.S. Patent 5,293,868 to Nardella teaches a cardiac ablation catheter, which includes an ablative energy delivery electrode and at least one sensing electrode connected to a monitor.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to A. Farah whose telephone number is (703) 305-5787. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ms. Linda Dvorak, can be reached on (703) 308-0994. The fax number for the Examiner is (703)746-3368.

A. M. Farah

Patent Examiner

Art Unit 3739

October 9th, 2002

Linda C. M. Dvorak

Supervisory Patent Examiner